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Summary

The ECF welcomes the opportunity to contribute to the current review of the Trans-European Transport Network (TEN-T) guidelines.¹

A significant increase in the number of trips made by bike is crucial for achieving the goals and targets of the European Commission. It will be needed to help meet the objectives of the European Green Deal and contribute to reducing the transport sector’s greenhouse gas emissions by 90% by 2050. Cycling must therefore play a central role in Europe’s transportation network and, by extension, the Trans-European Transport Network (TEN-T) – the EU’s flagship transport policy.

The ECF proposes two measures to ensure cycling is better integrated into the TEN-T:

1. **Integrate EuroVelo, the European cycle route network, into the TEN-T.** EuroVelo should be included as a fully-fledged TEN-T network alongside the networks for other modes. As the European cycle route network is already well-established, its integration into TEN-T would be easy to achieve and entail tremendous benefits for the whole policy.

2. **Integrate cycling infrastructure into all TEN-T infrastructure projects.** The potential for cycling traffic in the area covered by the respective projects should be evaluated and necessary elements of cycling infrastructure should be integrated into project planning, design, and construction. This should include the following measures:
   a. cycle routes along TEN-T corridors;
   b. safe and comfortable cycle crossings across TEN-T corridors;
   c. upgrading other roads affected by TEN-T projects to safe cycling standards;
   d. cycling connections in TEN-T urban nodes.

The review of the TEN-T guidelines represents an opportunity to level the playing field for transport modes. The update can correct the limitations of the current approach and foster an unprecedented growth in cycling infrastructure across the EU.
Introduction: Importance of cycling

Cycling is an important and growing segment of European mobility. European citizens demand high levels of mobility and road safety. They want to travel unimpededly, using seamless transportation networks. The European treaties guarantee this free movement of people across the continent. The EuroVelo routes and cycle highways provide key links between and within urban areas and are vital transport links in rural areas. The benefits of cycling appear not only in isolated fields like transport or environmental policy but in many other areas such as industrial policy, employment, health, and social policy.²

In its European Green Deal, the EU seeks a 90% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2050. This will be impossible to achieve without cycling, which is the most efficient environmentally friendly transport technology.

6,000 cyclists per day “Snelbinder”, a 2-km long cycling bridge attached to the railroad bridge over the Waal river in Nijmegen. The railroad line is a part of the TEN-T comprehensive network and the Waal waterway is a part of two TEN-T core corridors. Photo credit: ECF.
EuroVelo Schematic Diagram (2020)
1. **Integrate EuroVelo, the European cycle route network, into the TEN-T**

1.1 **What is EuroVelo?**

EuroVelo is the European network of long-distance cycle routes that cross and connect the entire continent. The development of EuroVelo will lead to safe, direct, coherent, and connected infrastructure that will benefit all categories of cyclists.

All EuroVelo routes have a length of at least 1,000 km and connect at least two countries. Wherever possible, the routes are based on existing or planned national or regional cycling routes. There are currently 17 EuroVelo routes in the network, with details available on [www.eurovelo.com](http://www.eurovelo.com) and a combined length of more than 90,000 km.

The EuroVelo network is currently developed and coordinated on the European level by the European Cyclists’ Federation (ECF) in cooperation with a network of national partners. The National EuroVelo Coordination Centres and Coordinators can comprise national cycling federations, ministries and other public authorities, tourism boards, public transport companies and other service providers. The ECF has long campaigned for the European Commission to take a role in the development of the European cycle route network.

1.2 **Member States call for a European cycle route network**

Several recent high-level European initiatives and policies have encouraged the development of a European cycle route network:

In the **Graz Declaration**, signed on 30 October 2018, the EU’s Environment and Transport Ministers called on the Commission, Member States, public authorities and other stakeholders to integrate “active mobility in the current and future European funding and financing schemes to enable the extension and improvement of infrastructure for active mobility, including the development of a Trans-European Cycling Network.”³

The Graz Declaration echoes earlier calls by the EU Transport Ministers for the EU to support a continental cycling network. This demand was also in the **Declaration of Luxembourg** in 2015, which highlighted that Member States can increase the share of cycling by “ensuring that national transport infrastructure projects consider and aim to strengthen international, national, regional and local cycling networks.”⁴

In summer 2020, Belgium, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands, in their joint **Benelux Bike Declaration**, called on the European Commission to promote the EuroVelo network and “use the ongoing revision of the TEN-T regulation to optimise co-funding possibilities for the construction or improvement of bicycle infrastructure, as well as broaden eligibility of bicycle projects in the definition of urban nodes.”⁵
1.3 Benefits of including EuroVelo and cycling in the TEN-T

The integration of EuroVelo, the European cycle route network, into TEN-T would release European financial resources for implementing the missing sections of the EuroVelo network and directly contribute to meeting the TEN-T objectives, listed in Article 4 of the current regulation.

EuroVelo stimulates the development of national, regional, and local cycle networks, serving as a backbone for such networks and providing a quality benchmark. Electric-power-assisted bicycles and the development of cycle highways will contribute to cross-linking TEN-T infrastructure and urban/local cycling networks.\(^6\)

The growing range of everyday cycling will make the continuation of urban routes into the suburbs more and more important.\(^7\) Integrating EuroVelo and cycling into the TEN-T would therefore improve connections between transport modes, close gaps, remove bottlenecks and contribute to the EU’s environmental targets.\(^8\) It is an opportunity for promoting trans-border cycling infrastructure networks, and it plays an important role in intermodal cross-border travel, for instance through widening the catchment area of rail travel.

Cycling is traditionally perceived as a local issue. Most cycle trips are indeed relatively short.\(^9\) However, European directives and regulations can significantly affect how easy or difficult it is for local authorities to provide coherent, safe, direct, comfortable, and attractive cycling infrastructure. Without the integration of EuroVelo and cycling, TEN-T can negatively impact these developments. Integrating cycling into TEN-T networks will help use existing infrastructure more efficiently.\(^10\) It will provide higher quality and more functionality for a lower price and make better use of public funding.\(^11\)

The cycling and cycle-tourism industries and their customers rely heavily on functioning cycle-route networks providing efficient and reliable mobility to citizens. The integration of EuroVelo into TEN-T would boost economic growth and job creation, especially in remote areas.\(^12\)

This integration would raise the network’s popularity even further and allow the EU to be closer to citizens. The European Commission could participate in the coordination of EuroVelo and provide financial and technical assistance for the coordination, know-how transfer and communication on the European level. The integration would make cycling infrastructure safer and convince more citizens to switch to the bike.
At the same time, the cost of integrating EuroVelo and cycling into TEN-T would be relatively low in comparison with other TEN-T networks: EuroVelo is an oven-ready cycle-route network with a functioning management, and cycling infrastructure is very cost-effective compared to other modes of transport.\(^{13}\)

1.4 **Current situation**

A direct reference to cycling and EuroVelo was included in the TEN-T Guidelines for the first time through regulation (EU) No 1315/2013. The wording is as follows (Recital 9):

> “When implementing projects of common interest on the TEN-T, due consideration should be given to the particular circumstances of the individual project. Where possible, synergies with other policies should be exploited, for instance with tourism aspects by including on civil engineering structures such as bridges or tunnels *bicycle infrastructure for long-distance cycling paths like the EuroVelo routes.*”

While the wording represented a step in the right direction, it stopped short of the approach already recommended by the ECF in the previous review procedure to formally recognise the entire EuroVelo network as one of the TEN-T networks.

References to cycling and EuroVelo have also featured in the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) calls that have been published during the current financial period (2014-2020). For example:

> “Where applicable as part of a broader project of common interest, actions may include activities for the adaptation of TEN-T infrastructure to ensure the continuity of bicycle infrastructure for long-distance cycling paths such as the EuroVelo routes. These activities may include relevant adaptation of traffic signalling systems or the addition of infrastructure dedicated to cyclists and pedestrians, such as tunnels, bypasses, bridges, aerial cycling and walkways and protected cycling paths. They may cover activities extending along TEN-T routes or at crossings between TEN-T routes and long-distance cycling paths.”\(^{14}\)

Several projects have taken advantage of this opportunity. However, this represents less than 1% of the interactions between EuroVelo routes and the TEN-T networks (see section 2.5). Based on the ECF’s experience and observations, the legal basis and the CEF Calls that were published based on it were not encouraging enough for applicants.

Fully including EuroVelo, the European cycle route network, within the TEN-T would make investing in major cycling infrastructure projects much more feasible.
2. Integrate cycling infrastructure into all TEN-T infrastructure projects

2.1 Introduction

Elements of the TEN-T network are often considered to be a barrier and obstacle to development of active mobility in cities and regions that are investing in cycling. Sections of cycle routes following or crossing the TEN-T corridors are the most expensive and difficult to construct. Motorways and ring roads cut off suburbs from core urban areas. In extreme cases, TEN-T (re)construction projects have uprooted or divided existing cycle paths or routes.

Construction of the M5 motorway in Hungary, which is part of the TEN-T core network, has interrupted a popular existing cycle path connecting the towns of Mórahalom and Domaszék with the city of Szeged. The cycle path was used both for commuting and as a part of EuroVelo 13, but the interchange of the M5 and national road 55 does not include any provisions for cyclists.

ECF recommends evaluating the potential for cycling traffic in all TEN-T projects and integrating necessary elements of cycling infrastructure into project planning, design, and construction. Depending on the network and type of project, this should include one or more of the measures listed in the table below. Wherever such measures are missing on an already completed section of TEN-T corridors, they should be eligible for EU funding as independent projects.
The following table shows which TEN-T networks should integrate which measures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Railways</th>
<th>Roads</th>
<th>Inland waterways</th>
<th>Maritime (ports)</th>
<th>Air (airports)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cycle routes along TEN-T corridors</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe and comfortable cycle crossings across TEN-T corridors</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade of other roads affected by TEN-T projects to safe-cycling standard</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling connections in TEN-T urban nodes</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A similar approach has already been integrated into the recent revision of the Directive 2008/96/EC on road infrastructure safety management, which obliged Member States to take into account the needs of vulnerable road users, such as pedestrians and cyclists, in the implementation of directive procedures. This principle should also be reflected in the TEN-T guidelines and be extended from just roads to all the TEN-T networks.

2.2 Cycle routes along TEN-T corridors

Many TEN-T corridors provide an excellent opportunity for developing high-quality routes for active mobility. In particular, cycle highways along the TEN-T railroad lines, including sections of high-speed lines, have already proven to be successful projects in several Member States. Cycle routes along inland waterways can serve both everyday commuting and long-distance cycle tourism. The key success factors include low gradients and limited amounts of crossings with the road network, as well as the easiness of integrating grade-separated crossings for cyclists at locations where roads cross a TEN-T railroad or waterway.

The F1 cycle highway in Belgium between Mechelen and Antwerp follows a high-speed TEN-T railroad line. The benefits of the investment exceed the costs by a factor of 14. Photo credit: ECF.
There is also significant existing or potential cycle traffic along the TEN-T road corridors. Typical contexts where this is currently the case include:

- roads that connect suburban areas or satellite towns with the main city of an agglomeration.
- legacy roads with housing and workplaces developed along them, upgraded to expressway or motorway status.
- ring roads with workplaces (shopping malls, outlets, warehouses, business parks etc.) developing along them.\(^{24}\)
- a single connection across a barrier where cyclists have no choice but to use it, such as a bridge across a major river or road leading to a border crossing, coastal road, mountain pass, etc.
- alternative routes that also carry heavy traffic and provide even worse conditions for cycling (narrow carriageway with no hard shoulders, poor surface quality, etc.).

The road administrations responsible for the TEN-T network have focused mostly on car traffic up until recently, but there is a growing recognition that more focus will need to be put on taking the needs of cyclists and pedestrians into account in the future.\(^{25}\) Providing safe and attractive conditions for active mobility can release capacity for transnational traffic on many sections of TEN-T roads that are currently used by regional or local car traffic as well.\(^{26}\)

Many TEN-T corridors (road, railroad, inland waterways) are accompanied by so-called service roads – either to provide access to housing, agricultural and forestry areas along the corridor or for maintenance or emergency purposes. These service roads typically carry very low volumes of motorised traffic and can be safely shared by pedestrians and cyclists. For a relatively small cost they can be connected through short sections of cycling infrastructure to form a continuous link for active mobility.\(^{27}\) This extra step improves the efficiency of investments and serves to make better use of existing infrastructure.
The F3 cycle highway connecting Brussels and Leuven in Belgium reuses, to a large degree, service roads built as part of an upgrade of a TEN-T railroad corridor to high-speed standard. However, several critical bridges and tunnels were not included in the upgrade project and had to be constructed separately, at higher cost and with disruptions for users. Photo credit: ECF.

2.3 **Cycle crossings across TEN-T corridors**

Insufficient density of crossings over/under a motorway or a railroad line creates a barrier for active mobility. While a detour of a few kilometres can be acceptable for car traffic, it usually makes the distance prohibitive for daily walking or cycling trips, contributing to a shift to unsustainable transport modes.

Additionally, if a TEN-T corridor is only crossable by main roads, this concentrates the pedestrian and cycling traffic on the main roads. Even if a main road is redesigned and equipped with segregated pedestrian and cycling infrastructure in the crossing area, there might be a lack of this infrastructure further on. Insufficient density of crossings might therefore negatively impact road safety and mobility even a few kilometres away from the TEN-T corridor, which may not be reflected in a simple analysis of the corridor itself.
As a part of the Rail Baltica corridor, a 10-km section of the rail line between Zielonka and Wolomin in Poland (red line) was upgraded to a quadruple track with co-financing from the EU's Cohesion Fund (Operational Programme “Infrastructure & Environment”, priority axis “Environment-friendly transport”). The section cuts in half three towns with a total of 90,000 inhabitants, but only one cycle crossing (in blue) was provided in the project, in comparison to five crossings for cars. Background map data © Google.

2.4 Impact of TEN-T projects on wider area

TEN-T projects often affect the surrounding road network in the area, even a few kilometres away from the (re)constructed infrastructure. For example, a regional road with previously low traffic where cyclists had been able to safely cycle on the carriageway can become an important link to a newly constructed motorway. The resulting increase in traffic might make it necessary to segregate pedestrian and cycling traffic.

Similarly, the construction or extension of an airport or seaport can increase the traffic on the roads providing access to it. TEN-T airports and seaports are also important centres of commercial activity, often offering a concentration of workplaces. To ensure good accessibility in busy urban nodes, an option to reach these areas by bicycle both for commuters and tourists should also be available. Pilot projects to ensure cycle highway access to major airports are already underway.

On the other hand, if a TEN-T road (re)construction project provides a new route for long-distance traffic to bypass settlements, for instance, the old route needs to be adapted to the new role. This can be done by introducing traffic calming measures, cycle lanes etc. This has usually not been part of TEN-T project planning so far. The intended effects such as improving safety and quality of life by removing the long-distance traffic from the sensitive area therefore often depended on further actions of local municipalities.
2.5 Importance of the issue

To gain an understanding of how much potential there is to integrate cycling infrastructure into all TEN-T infrastructure projects, ECF overlayed the EuroVelo network with the current TEN-T networks\textsuperscript{31}. This highlighted that there are 7,861 locations in the EU+UK where the EuroVelo routes run along or across TEN-T roads, railways, or inland waterways. The total length of sections where a EuroVelo route overlaps with existing TEN-T networks adds up to nearly 10,000 km.

If the EuroVelo network is included in the TEN-T, as per our first request, then such overlaps should be automatically picked up and acted upon. However, the EuroVelo routes only represent part of the picture. They form the backbone of much larger national, regional, and local cycle route networks. The opportunities (and need) to incorporate cycling infrastructure in TEN-T projects is therefore far greater than the numbers referred to in the paragraph above. This should be taken into account in the current TEN-T revision to foster a truly connected and sustainable European transport system.
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